Corresponding author: William Encinosa, PhD Center for Delivery, Organization and Markets Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 540 Gaither Rd, Rockville, MD 20850 william.encinosa@ahrq.hhs.gov 301-427-1437 301-427-1430 fax

Fred Hellinger, PhD Center for Delivery, Organization and Markets Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 540 Gaither Rd, Rockville, MD 20850 <u>fred.hellinger@ahrq.hhs.gov</u> 301-427-1408 301-427-1430 fax

# MS 04-0005R2

# The Impact of Medical Errors on 90-Day Costs and Outcomes:

# **An Examination of Surgical Patients**

William E. Encinosa and Fred J. Hellinger Center for Delivery, Organization and Markets Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

April 21, 2008

Word Count: 4,495 Abstract Word Count: 199 Acknowledgements: This research was funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Disclosures: none.

Disclaimers: These views do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the Agency for Healthcare

Research and Quality.

# The Impact of Medical Errors on 90-Day Costs and Outcomes: An Examination of Surgical Patients

#### Abstract

**Objective** To estimate the effect of medical errors on medical expenditures, death, readmissions, and outpatient care within 90 days after surgery.

Data Sources 2001-2002 MarketScan insurance claims for 5.6 million enrollees.

**Study Design** The AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs) were used to identify 14 PSIs among 161,004 surgeries. We used propensity score matching and multivariate regression analyses to predict expenditures and outcomes attributable to the 14 PSIs.

**Principal Findings** Excess 90-day expenditures likely attributable to PSIs ranged from \$646 for technical problems (accidental laceration, pneumothorax, etc.) to \$28,218 for acute respiratory failure, with up to 20% of these costs incurred post-discharge. With a third of all 90-day deaths occurring post-discharge, the excess death rate associated with PSIs ranged from 0% to 7%. The excess 90-day readmission rate associated with PSIs ranged from 0% to 8%. Overall, 11% of all deaths, 2% of readmissions, and 2% of expenditures were likely due to these 14 PSIs.

**Conclusions** The effects of medical errors continue long after the patient leaves the hospital. Medical error studies that focus only on the inpatient stay can underestimate the impact of patient safety events by up to 20% to 30%.

Key Words medical errors, patient safety, expenditures.

# **1. Introduction**

The Committee on the Quality of Health Care in America was established in 1998 by the Institute of Medicine, and its first report estimated that between 44,000 and 98,000 Americans die each year due as a result of medical mistakes, with an associated cost of \$17 to \$29 billion (see *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health Care System*, Kohn, Corrigan, and Donaldson 1999). It is now eight years after the IOM report and patient safety rates across the country remain high despite modest improvements in overall quality. Of the 40 core measures tracked by the *National Healthcare Quality Report* (2006), 26 showed improvements, 2 showed deterioration, and 12 showed no change between 2005 and 2006. However, the pace of change remains constant at a modest annual rate of improvement of 2.3% in overall quality. And, for the specific case of patient safety quality, the rate of adverse events declined by only 1% between 2000 and 2005 (*National Healthcare Quality Report* 2007). This is far from the 50% reduction in medical errors that the Institute of Medicine ambitiously recommended over a five year period.

While there are many technical barriers to improving patient safety, some of the main barriers occur at the systems level (Wachter 2004; Pronovost et al 2006). One modest step towards increasing progress at the systems level is the development of a clear business case for system-wide investments in patient safety. Indeed, a strong business case is just now beginning to be made in the literature (Zhan and Miller 2003; Dimick et al. 2006; Needleman et al. 2007; Zhan et al, 2006; and Gross et al. 2007). However, a recent meta-analysis of the literature by Schmidek and Weeks (2005) suggests that more research is needed particularly on the potential returns to patient safety interventions.

A few very recent papers have addressed this issue at the national level. In one of the first papers on the topic, Zhan and Miller (2003) estimated that national costs attributable to 18 types of adverse events in the hospital totaled \$4.6 billion in 2000. Zhan et al (2006) found that, among Medicare patients, two thirds of the \$300 million extra costs due to five adverse events were not covered by Medicare in 2002. This reveals that a reduction in medical errors could result in potentially large cost savings for hospitals. Similarly, Needleman et al (2007) found that improving nurse staffing ratios to reduce adverse events could avoid between \$2.6 and \$6.9 billion in 2002 costs.

These national studies are an improvement over older cost studies cited in the IOM Report which are based on small samples in three states (Johnson et al. 1992; Thomas et al. 1999; Thomas et al. 2000). However, recent studies also have limitations. For example, all three studies (Needleman et al. 2007; Zhan and Miller. 2003; Zhan et al. 2006) only examine hospital costs and outcomes occurring within the initial hospitalization. Not included were post-discharge events like death, readmissions, ER visits, physician visits, and outpatient prescriptions, which may all add significantly to the total episodic costs of patient safety events.

Second, not only do these studies fail to calculate the costs of post-discharge events such as readmissions, but they treat readmissions as if they were initial admissions since they apply their analyses to all hospital discharges. It is more appropriate to measure patient safety events as occurring only in an index surgery and then following their costs in subsequent readmissions. Grouping readmissions with index admissions can bias cost estimates since readmissions may be caused by patient safety events occurring during the index admission. In fact, the potential for this bias to occur can be large. In our data we find that among 92,640 surgery patients with 122,875 hospital admissions in 2002, only 77% of these admissions were index surgeries. The remaining 23% were either readmissions or medical hospitalizations without surgery. Unfortunately, the aforementioned studies incorrectly treat these 23% of admissions as if they were index admissions, biasing any cost estimate of patient safety events.

Third, these studies use a limited measurement of inpatient costs. For example, they only include the *hospital* costs of the initial stay. They do not include the *physician* costs of the initial hospital stay. Moreover, these papers estimate hospital *costs* using hospital-level cost-to-charge ratios applied to patient-level charges. This can lead to imprecise estimates of costs. It is preferable to examine transacted *payments* rather than hospital costs because hospital costs are to a large extent an artifact of accounting convention and historical accident. Indeed, many older hospitals have depreciated their facilities and thus do not report capital costs related to their facilities even though the economic cost of their facilities is not zero. Actual transacted payments from insurers are not only more accurate than costs, but they are often much higher than hospital costs. Indeed, the private payer payment-to-cost ratio for all hospitals was 1.18 in 2003 (MedPAC 2005).

safety must be made---not from the point of view of the hospitals---but from the view of the purchasers (insurers), since they are the ones who have the most leverage to stimulate hospitals to enhance patient safety. Thus, more studies need to examine costs in terms of insurer transacted payments, not only hospital costs.

In this paper, we address these limitations using national insurance claims data with transacted payments from insurers. Moreover, to capture the full episodic costs of patient safety problems, we track patient outcomes and utilization for three months after the patient safety event. We include all hospital and readmissions payments, physician payments, outpatient payments, and prescription drug payments. We find that the costs of patient safety events are considerably higher when we include post-discharge costs following the initial hospitalization.

# 2. Data and Methods

# 2.1 Data

Our source of data is the 2001-2002 MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter Database, which contains claims data for inpatient care, outpatient care, and prescription drugs for 5.6 million enrollees in employer-sponsored benefit plans for 45 large employers in all 50 states. This database includes about 4% of all employer-sponsored enrollees in the U.S. We examine all medical claims incurred within 90 days after the surgery admission date. The unit of observation is any adult, non-elderly major surgery admission between March 1, 2001, and October 1, 2002, which did not follow another major surgery admission within the previous 90 days for that patient. We have a total of 161,004 observations. Only 4% of patients contributed more than one surgery observation.

# 2.2 Potentially preventable adverse medical events

We examine 14 potentially preventable adverse medical events defined by the Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs) methodology as developed by the Agency for Health Care Research and Quality (http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/psi\_overview.htm ). Since some patient safety events are rare, our sample size is not large enough to examine some of the PSIs individually. As a result, we combine the 14 PSIs into 7 groups. The groups and their PSIs are (1) *Technical Problems*: anesthesia complication, accidental puncture or laceration, foreign body left in, iatrogenic pneumothorax, and transfusion reaction; (2) *Infections:* Infection due to medical care, sepsis; (3) *Pulmonary and Vascular Problems:* pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis; (4) *Acute respiratory failure*; (5) *Metabolic Problems:* Physiologic and metabolic derangements; (6) *Wound Problems:* Hemorrhage/hematoma, wound dehiscence; and (7) *Nursing-Sensitive Events:* post-operative hip fracture, and decubitus ulcer.

## **2.3 Statistical Methods**

## Matching

This study assembles a matched sample of cases and controls that are then used to: 1) estimate the contribution of each of the 7 PSI groups on each of 3 binary outcomes; and 2) estimate the contribution of each of the 7 PSI groups on 5 categories of (log) costs. We create the matched sample using a propensity score matching method. As can be seen in

Table 2, the 4,140 surgeries with at least one patient safety event in the raw data have different characteristics than the 156,864 surgeries in the raw data that had none of the patient safety events. Using the *psmatch2* routine in Stata 9.2, we match 4,140 of the 156,864 surgeries without events to the 4,140 surgeries with patient safety events, forming a "Matched Sample" with 8,280 observations (4,140 PSI cases + 4,140 matched non-PSI cases). To do this, we first create the propensity score with a logit regression of the probability of any of the 7 PSI groups occurring, controlling for the 32 covariates of Table 2 and fixed effects for 92 collapsed DRG groups. Next, using the nearest-neighbor method (Becker and Ichino 2002), we create the matches by balancing propensity scores across the 32 covariates and 92 DRG groups. No observations lacked a common region of support (Becker and Ichino 2002). As can be seen in Table 2, the non-PSI cases and the PSI cases in the Matched Sample now have the same characteristics (within a 95% level of statistical significance) for all the covariates except for "organ transplants" and "deficiency anemias." The average absolute value of the bias in the covariates between PSI and non-PSI cases was reduced from 19.2 to 4.1 due to the matching.<sup>1</sup>

The 32 covariates in Table 2 used to risk adjust and match PSI cases include 20 collapsed chronic condition variables derived from 29 chronic conditions developed by Elixhauser et al (1998) in the AHRQ Comorbidity Software. Baldwin et al (2006) have shown that these are the best performing comorbidity measures. We also include the following clinical variables to control for potential confounding effects of patient severity, age, sex, an indicator for emergency room admission, and an indicator for an organ transplant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The bias is the difference in the sample means between PSI and non-PSI cases as a percentage of the square root of the average of the sample variances in the PSI and non-PSI cases. See Rosenbaum and Rubin (1985).

Next, to control for demand-side factors that may influence the patient's degree of utilization and costs, we control for the type of the health plan (HMO, which is either a capitated HMO or a capitated Point-of-Service plan) and for whether the patient is an hourly wage worker (versus salaried), which may proxy for low income. The costs of PSI events should decline with HMO enrollment and low income since this a segment of the population that spends less on health care. Since we pool data from two years, we also include an indicator for year 2002 to control for any time trend. Finally, to control for market characteristics, we include region fixed effects and the county HMO penetration rate from InterStudy. The post-discharge costs of PSI events and readmissions should decline with HMO penetration due to better post-operative outpatient care under HMOs spilling over to the rest of the market.

#### Outcomes

After the matching, we use logit regressions on the Matched Sample to predict the probability of an outcome under each of the seven PSI groups, controlling for the 32 covariates of Table 2. The binary outcomes are (1) 90-day in-hospital death, (2) 90-day readmission with a post-operative problem, and (3) 90-day outpatient visit with a post-operative problem. We use 44 post-operative conditions to identify readmissions and outpatient visits that are most likely related to problems with the index surgery. The 44 post-operative conditions examined are based on an AHRQ list of 44 of the 260 conditions in the 2005 AHRQ Clinical Classification Software (CCS), which groups all ICD-9 diagnosis codes into 260 mutually exclusive diagnosis categories (http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs/ccs.jsp ). A list of the 44 conditions can

be found in Encinosa et al (2006). *Readmissions* refer to any overnight stay at an inpatient hospital beginning within 90 days after the index discharge. *Outpatient visits* include post-operative one-day visits to an inpatient hospital, outpatient hospital, ambulatory surgery center, or emergency room, as well as office visits or home health care visits. To estimate the "excess rates" of the three outcomes that are due to each of the PSI groups, we first predict the outcome's rate assuming every surgery had an adverse event occur from that PSI class, and then predict the outcome's rate assuming every surgery had no adverse event from any of the seven PSI groups. The difference between the two rates is the excess rate due to that PSI class. The standard errors of the excess rates are computed with 1,000 bootstrapping replications.

# Expenditures

Next, linear regression analysis on the Matched Sample is used to examine the relationship between PSIs and the natural logarithm of 90-day costs. Expenditures are transacted prices, including all hospital, physician, outpatient, and drug payments. We adjust all payments for the local wage rate to control for variations in medical prices across markets. After the regressions, the log of expenditures is then retransformed into dollars using the Duan smearing estimator to adjust for the bias arising under the log-retransformation (Duan 1983).

We run five separate regressions, one on each category of costs: (1) total 90-dayexpenditures, (2) index hospital expenditures (including physician inpatient payments),(3) 90-day readmission expenditures, (4) 90-day outpatient expenditures, and (5) 90-day

outpatient drug expenditures. All regressions were run on the Matched Sample except for the readmission and outpatient expenditure regressions, which were performed on the subsets of the Matched Sample that had positive expenditures for readmissions and outpatient visits, respectively. Almost all of the Matched Sample used outpatient drugs, so the entire Matched Sample was used in the drug regression. As in the outcome regressions, we consider only readmissions and outpatient visits with the 44 postoperative conditions. To estimate the "excess total payments" due to each of the PSI groups, we first predict the payment assuming every surgery had that PSI class occur, and then predict the payment assuming every surgery had none of the seven PSI groups occur. The difference between the two payments is the excess payment due to that PSI class. To compute "excess payment" for individual categories, like readmissions, we multiply the predicted payments among the readmissions by the predicted probability of readmission found in the outcome regressions above. We do this by PSI and non-PSI. The difference is the "excess payment." The standard errors of the excess payments are computed with 1,000 bootstrapping replications.

# 3. Results

## **3.1** Unadjusted Outcomes and Costs

During the study period, 4,140 (2.6%) of the 161,004 adult major surgeries had at least one of the 14 potentially preventable adverse medical events. About 5.6% of the 4,140 surgeries with PSI events had more than one PSI. In the non-risk-adjusted rates of Table 1, surgeries with PSIs had a 90-day death rate of 6.3%, compared to 0.6% for surgeries without PSIs. The 90-day readmission rate for surgeries with PSIs was 15.0%, compared to 5.5% for those without PSIs. These were readmissions with any of the 44 postoperative conditions. Not shown, the general 90-day readmission rate for any type of readmission was 23.3% for PSI events and 10.2% for surgeries without PSI events.

Total 90-day costs for surgeries with PSIs were \$66,879 on average, versus \$18,284 for surgeries without PSIs. Not shown, over the 90 days, surgeries with PSIs averaged 21.5 inpatient days, with 5.3 of these days occurring post-discharge. In contrast, surgeries without PSIs averaged 5.1 days, with 1.0 of these days occurring post-discharge. The post-operative acute respiratory failure PSI was the most expensive of the 7 patient safety event classes, costing \$106,370 over the 90-day period, and had the highest 90-day death rate, 12%. It also had the highest average cost of readmission, \$12,274, even though the infection PSI class had the highest readmission rate, 20% versus 17% for respiratory failure. However, the most expensive PSI class in terms of outpatient visit costs was the infection PSI class ("infection due to medical care" and "sepsis"), with \$5,395 in 90-day outpatient payments. The most expensive PSI class in terms of outpatient drug costs was "physiologic and metabolic derangements," with \$2,603 in 90-day outpatient drug payments.

## **3.2** Adjusted Outcomes and Costs

In Table 2, we find that the surgeries with PSIs had considerably higher rates of comorbidity than the non-PSI events in the raw sample. Thus, many of the cost and outcome differences in Table 1 may be due to differences in patient characteristics. So, in Table 3, we present logit regression estimates of odds ratios for patient outcomes after

PSI events, controlling for patient characteristics after matching the 4,140 PSI surgeries to 4,140 non-PSI surgeries with similar characteristics.

#### Deaths Due to Patient Safety Events

Infections and respiratory failure were the two PSIs with the highest adjusted odds ratios for death in Table 3. Surgeries with preventable infections had 2.16 times higher odds of death than surgeries without such infections, and surgeries with respiratory failure had 3.74 times higher odds of death than surgeries without respiratory failure. In Table 4, we estimate that the excess 90-day death rate due to respiratory failure was 6.7 percentage points. That is, not shown, the adjusted death rate for non-PSI events was 3.0%, compared to an adjusted death rate of 9.7% for respiratory failure. For infection, the excess 90-day death rate was 3.1 percentage points. Overall, in Table 4, five of the seven PSI classes had statistically significant positive excess death rates due to the PSI, ranging from 0.5 to 6.7 percentage points. Two PSI classes, technical problems and wound problems, had no statistically significant excess death rate.

# Readmissions Due to Patient Safety Events

Infections, metabolic problems, and respiratory failure were the three PSI classes with the highest adjusted odds ratios for 90-day readmission in Table 3. Infections had 87.7% higher odds of readmission, metabolic problems had 70.2% higher odds of readmission, and respiratory failure had 46.9% higher odds of readmission. In Table 4, we estimate that the excess readmission rate due to infection was 7.7 percentage points (the adjusted readmission rate for non-PSI events was 11.1%, compared to an adjusted readmission

rate of 18.8% for infection). For metabolic problems, the excess readmission rate was 6.3 percentage points. For respiratory failure, the excess readmission rate was 4.3 percentage points. Overall, in Table 4, four of the seven PSI classes had statistically significant positive excess readmission rates due to the PSI, ranging from 3.4 to 7.7 percentage points. Technical problems, nursing-sensitive problems, and wound problems had no statistically significant excess readmission rate.

# Outpatient Visits Due to Patient Safety Events

In Table 3, infections had 2.9 times higher odds of a 90-day outpatient visit with a postoperative condition, pulmonary and vascular problems had 2.1 times higher odds of an outpatient visit with problems, while respiratory failure had 85.8% higher odds of an outpatient visit with problems. Not shown in Table 4, the excess outpatient visit rate was 18.5 percentage points for infections, 14.1 percentage points for pulmonary and vascular problems, and 12.0 percentage points for respiratory failure. The other four PSI classes had no statistically significant excess outpatient visit rate.

# Expenditures Due to Patient Safety Events

In Table 4, we simulate excess 90-day expenditures due to patient safety events from loglinear regression estimates (not shown) for patient expenditures after PSI events, controlling for patient characteristics after matching the 4,140 PSI surgeries to 4,140 non-PSI surgeries with similar characteristics. From Table 4, overall adjusted excess payments for the seven PSI classes ranged from \$646 to \$28,218 in 2002 dollars. Respiratory failure resulted in the largest of the excess payments, \$28,218. This was due to the fact that respiratory failure had the highest excess payments during the index hospitalization, \$25,828. However, infections had a higher adjusted readmission rate (18.8%) than respiratory failure (15.4%), and had higher adjusted excess costs of readmissions (\$2,594 versus \$1,702). Recall that this differs from Table 1, where respiratory failure had higher unadjusted readmission costs than did infections.

In fact, the infection PSI class had the largest adjusted excess post-discharge expenditures in Table 4, \$3,806 (where \$2,594 was due to readmissions, \$1,047 to outpatient visits, and \$165 to drugs). Respiratory failure resulted in the second highest excess postdischarge expenditures, \$2,390. Excess post-discharge payments made up 20% all excess payments under infections. That is, 80% of the excess payments due to infection were incurred during the index hospitalization. Over all seven PSI classes, the proportion of excess payments that were incurred post-discharge ranged from 4% to 20%.

While infections had the highest excess payments for both readmissions and outpatient visits, pulmonary and vascular problems had the highest excess 90-day outpatient drug expenditures, \$273. Recall from Table 1 that metabolic problems had the largest unadjusted drug expenditures. However, this difference is eliminated once we risk adjust drug costs in Table 4. Essentially, 70% of the patients with physiologic and metabolic derangements were diabetics, compared to only 7% of all patients with safety events. Thus, diabetes accounted for this high drug cost, not the physiologic and metabolic derangement patient safety event.

Overall, in column 3 of Table 4, 52.0% of all 90-day payments for surgeries with respiratory failure were excess payments due to the actual respiratory failure PSI. This was the largest fraction of total payments attributed to a PSI. The next largest was infections, accounting for 42% of payments. The lowest share was 2.8% for technical problems.

In Table 4 we also tease out the parts of the excess PSI payments of the index hospitalization that were excess 90-day payments made to the physicians. Excess payments to physicians due to patient safety events ranged from -\$19 for pulmonary and vascular problems to \$1,325 for respiratory failure. However, in terms of excess physician payments as a percentage of the total excess payments for the index hospitalization, technical problems had the highest rate, where 17% of excess index payments due to the PSI went to the doctor (\$238 of \$1,407).

Finally, since most recent papers on patient safety costs do not include either physician costs or post-discharge costs, they underestimate the true costs of patient safety events. To calculate the magnitude of this underestimation, we combine the costs results from Table 4 and find that the excess payments for infections increase by 28% when physician payments and all post-discharge payments are added to the index hospital payment ([\$19,480 - (\$15,674 - \$492)]/ [\$15,674 - \$492]). Similarly, by including physician costs and all post-discharge costs, excess payments increase by 20% for pulmonary and vascular problems, 15% for respiratory failure, 14% for metabolic problems, 11% for wound problems, and 5% for nursing-sensitive events. However, not including physician

costs and all post-discharge costs results in an overestimate of excess costs for technical problems by 45% (since technical problems have systematically lower post-discharge costs).

# 4. Discussion

There are many reasons to reduce medical error rates---reasons based on professional ethics, oversight by regulatory and accrediting bodies, tort liability, and consumer expectations. In addition, there is also a business case emerging for the reduction of patient safety events. This is of particular interest now that Medicare will stop reimbursing hospitals for the extra costs of eight patient safety events beginning in October, 2008. At that time, hospitals will have to revisit and re-evaluate their business case for increasing investments in patient safety improvements. One vital component of the business case is an accurate estimate of the potential returns to patient safety interventions. In this paper, we present one of the most complete estimates of the episodic cost savings from reducing patient safety events. Most papers that estimate the cost of medical errors only examine the initial hospitalization in which the medical error occurred. However, much can happen post-discharge. We find that the death rate increases by 50% over 90 days once the patient leaves the hospital. The 14 patient safety events were responsible for 11% of all 90-day deaths after major surgery. Moreover, the 90-day readmission rate was 6% after major surgery. We find that 2% of all these readmissions were due to the 14 PSIs. While the initial hospital stay with a PSI involved a 16.2 day length of stay on average, readmissions caused total days to increase 33% to 21.5 days over the 90 day period. Thus, previous studies only examining the initial stay

would have substantially underestimated overall resource use (90-day length of stay) among PSI patients by 25%.

We find that the costs of patient safety events are considerably higher when we address all of these post-operative costs following the initial hospitalization. In fact, for excess payments due to the infections PSI class, the total excess payments during the entire 90day episode are 28% larger than the excess payments incurred during the initial hospitalization. This large difference in the return on patient safety could make many interventions much more cost-effective than previously thought. For example, Needleman et al, 2007, found that increasing the RN/LPN mix to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and raising the number of licensed nurse hours to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile saved \$6.9 billion in 2002 costs by reducing adverse events, ignoring physician costs and post-discharge costs. By this estimate, the investment is not cost-effective for hospitals; the cost-savings would need to be 23% higher for the hospital to break-even on the investment. It is quite possible that the *post-discharge* cost savings achieved by reducing adverse events might just be enough for the hospital to break-even on the investment in nursing.

For the 14 patient safety events we examine, there are potentially large national costs savings from reducing these events. We have a 4% sample of all privately insured major surgeries in the U.S. and we extrapolate our results to the national level. Among the nonelderly privately insured population in the U.S., we estimate that 11% of 90-day deaths (2,806) were due to these 14 PSIs in 2002, 2% of 90-day readmissions (3,519) with postoperative conditions were associated with these 14 PSIs, and 2% of all 90-day expenditures after major surgery (\$1.47 billion) were attributable to the 14 PSIs. These are rough estimates since the MarketScan database is not a random sample of the privately-insured in the United States (Medstat, 2002). Indeed, the MarketScan database has disproportionately more workers in the southern United States and fewer workers in the West.

Recall that these potentially preventable adverse medical events are based only on 14 measurable patient safety indicators. Thus, there may be many more preventable safety events (as well as near misses) that occurred but that were not included in our analyses, such as medication errors. In fact, we do not consider drug-related errors, diagnostic errors, and errors in choice of therapy, all of which accounted for 12% of surgical errors in the Colorado-Utah study underlying the IOM report (Gawande et al 1999). Moreover, we do not include non-medical costs, such as days of lost work due to patient safety events. Thus, our expenditure results are an underestimate of all the expenditures attributable to all preventable adverse events.

However, we may be overestimating expenditures attributable to the 14 PSIs since our risk adjustors are not comprehensive. Recent research shows that adding "present at admission" diagnosis variables and laboratory data to our risk adjustors would increase the mean C-statistic of predicting death from 0.79 to 0.86. Adding clinical data such as vital signs and blood cultures would increase the C-statistic to 0.88 (Pine et al 2007). Similar improvements have been found in the C-statistic for predicting the PSIs in work by the same authors (Jordan et al 2007). Future research should examine how such data

augmentations to improve risk adjusting would affect the estimate of costs attributable to the PSIs.

**Funding/Support:** This research was funded by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The views herein do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of AHRQ, nor the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

# References

Baldwin, L., Klabunde, C., Green, P., Barlow, W., and Wright, G. 2006. "In Search of the Perfect Comorbidity Measure for Use with Administrative Claims Data: Does it Exists?" Medical Care 44(8): 745-753.

Becker, S., and A. Ichino. 2002. "Estimation of Average Treatment Effects Based on Propensity Scores." The Stata Journal 2(4): 358-377.

Dimick, J.B., Weeks, W.B., Karia, R.J., Das, S., and Campbell, D.A Jr. 2006. "Who Pays for Poor Surgical Quality? Building a Business Case for Quality Improvement." J Am Coll Surg. 202(6): 933-937.

Duan, N. 1983. "Smearing Estimate: a Nonparametric Retransformation Method." Journal of the American Statistical Association 78: 605-610.

Elixhauser, A., Steiner, C., Harris, D., and Coffey, R. "Comorbidity Measures for Use with Administrative Data." Medical Care 36(1): 8-27.

Encinosa, W., Bernard, D., Chen, C., and Steiner, C. 2006. "Healthcare Utilization and Outcomes after Bariatric Surgery." Medical Care. 44(8): 706-712.

Gawande, A., Thomas, E.J., Zinner, M.J., and Brennan, T.A. 1999. "The Incidence and Nature of Surgical Adverse Events in Colorado and Utah in 1992." Surgery 126(1): 66-75.

Gross, P.A., Ferguson, J.P., DeMauro, P., Hogstrom, H., Garrett, R., Cima, L., Fiore, A., Goldberg, S.L., Riccobono, C.A., and Berman, R. 2007. "The Business Case for Quality at a University Teaching Hospital." The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety. 33(3): 163-170.

Johnson, W.G., Brennan, T.A., Newhouse, J.P., Leape, L.L., Lawthers, A.G., Hiatt, H.H., and Weiler, P.C. 1992. "The Economic Consequences of Medical Injuries: Implications for a No-Fault Insurance Plan." JAMA 267(18): 2487-2492.

Jordan, H.S., Pine, M., Elixhauser, A., Hoaglin, D.C., Fry, D., Coleman, K., Deitz, D., Warner, D., Gonzales, J., and Friedman, Z. 2007. "Cost-Effective Enhancement of Claims Data to Improve Comparisons of Patient Safety." Journal of Patient Safety 3(2): 82-90.

Kaiser Family Foundation. 2006 "Update on Consumers' Views of Patient Safety and Quality information, September 2006." KFF/AHRQ/Harvard School of Public Health. Kohn, L., Corrigan, J., and Donaldson, M. Committee on Quality of Health Care in America, Institute of Medicine. 2000. "To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System." Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. 2005. "Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy." March, 2005. Washington, D.C.

The Medstat Group. 2002. "MarketScan User Guide and Data Dictionary." Ann Arbor, MI: Medstat.

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. "National Healthcare Quality Report, 2006." [accessed on March 12, 2008]. Available at

http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/nhqr06/nhqr06.pdf .

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. "National Healthcare Quality Report, 2007." [accessed on March 12, 2008]. Available at http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/nhqr07/nhqr07.pdf . Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Stewart, M., Zelevinsky, K., and Mattke, S. 2006. "Nurse Staffing in Hospitals: Is There a Business Case for Quality?" Health Affairs 25(1): 204-211.

Pine, M., Jordan, H.S., Elixhauser, A., Fry, D.E., Hoaglin, D.C., Jones, B., Meimban, R.,Warner, D., and Gonzales, J. 2007. "Enhancement of Claims Data to Improve RiskAdjustment of Hospital Mortality." JAMA 297(1): 71-76.

Pronovost, P., Miller, M., and Wachter, R. 2006. "Tracking Progress in Patient Safety: An Elusive Target." JAMA 296(6): 696-699.

Rosenbaum, P.R., and Rubin, D.B. 1985. "Constructing a Control Group Using Multivariate Matched Sampling Methods That Incorporate the Propensity Score." The American Statistician 39(1): 33-38.

Schmidek, J., and Weeks, W. 2005. "What Do We Know about Financial Returns on Investments in Patient Safety? A Literature Review." The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety. 31(12): 690-699.

Thomas, E.J., Studdert, D.M., Newhouse, J.P., Zbar, B.I., Howard, K.M., Williams, E.J., and Brennan, T.A. 1999. "Costs of Medical Injuries in Utah and Colorado." Inquiry 36(3): 255-264.

Thomas, E.J., Studdert, D.M., Burstin, H.R., Orav, E.J., Zeena, T., Williams, E.J.,

Howard, K.M., Weiler, P.C., and Brennan, T.A. 2000. "Incidence and Types of Adverse Events and Negligent Care in Utah and Colorado." Medical Care 38:3, 261-271.

Wachter, R. 2004. "The End of the Beginning: Patient Safety Five Years after 'To Err is Human.'" Health Affairs W4: 534-545.

Zhan, C., and Miller, M. 2003. "Excess Length of Stay, Charges, and Mortality
Attributable to Medical Injuries during Hospitalization." JAMA 290(14): 1868-1874.
Zhan, C., Friedman, B., Mosso, A., and Pronovost, P. 2006. "Medicare Payment for
Selected Adverse Events: Building the Business Case for Investing in Patient Safety."
Health Affairs 25(5): 1386-1393.

	Number of Surgeries	Total Payments	Hospital Payments (index visit)	Read- mission Payments	Outpatient Visit Payments	Drug Payments	Death Rate	Read- mission Rate
No Patient Safety Event	156,864	\$18,284	\$15,563	\$1,126	\$1,064	\$532	0.6%	5.5%
Had a Patient Safety Event	4,140 (2.6%)	66,879	54,968	7,115	3,883	913	6.3%	15.0%
Patient Safety Events by Class:								
<b>Technical Problems:</b> Anesthesia complication, Accidental puncture or laceration, Foreign body left in, Iatrogenic pneumothorax, Transfusion reaction	669 (0.4%)	26,199	22,732	1,639	1,269	560	1.8%	8.4%
<i>Infections:</i> Infection due to medical care, PO sepsis	587 (0.4%)	86,833	69,096	11,232	5,395	1,110	7.2%	20.1%
Pulmonary and Vascular Problems: Pulmonary embolism & deep vein thrombosis	1,212 (0.8%)	50,911	41,840	4,513	3,464	1,094	4.1%	14.9%
Acute respiratory failure:	1,392 (0.9%)	106,370	88,059	12,274	5,294	742	12.0%	17.4%
Metabolic Problems: Physiologic and metabolic derangements	76 (0.05%)	77,885	68,585	3,495	3,202	2,603	6.6%	19.7%
Wound Problems: Hemorrhage/hematoma, PO wound dehiscence	282 (0.2%)	36,447	30,935	2,767	2,060	684	1.8%	9.9%
<i>Nursing-Sensitive Events:</i> PO hip fracture, PO decubitus ulcer	168 (0.1%)	58,237	46,859	6,592	3,917	869	5.4%	13.7%

# Table 1 Unadjusted 90-Day Expenditures and Outcomes for Patient Safety Events

Notes: Means are reported. PO=Post-operative. Patient safety rates are in parentheses. Payments are in 2002 dollars. Readmissions and outpatient care include only those visits with any of 44 post-operative conditions. Adverse events by class do not sum to the total since 236 patients had multiple events.

Source: 2001-2002 MarketScan Employer Claims Data for 5.6 million covered lives.

	No	PSI		
Variables	Raw Sample	Matched Sample	Raw Sample <sup>a</sup>	
Patient Characteristics:	1	•	•	
In an HMO Plan	.267	.218	.222	
Hourly Wage Worker	.259	.342	.321	
Female	.655	.518	.528	
Mean Age (SD)	44.7 (14.6)	49.6 (13.9)	49.4 (14.0)	
Emergency Admission	.122	.213	.220	
Organ Transplant	.004	.019	.011*	
Year 2002	.588	.614	.616	
Chronic Conditions:				
Congestive Heart Failure	.011	.082	.074	
Valvular Disease	.015	.046	.045	
Peripheral Vascular	.017	.060	.055	
Disease				
Hypertension	.088	.114	.117	
Paralysis	.004	.023	.023	
Other Neurological	.008	.049	.049	
Disorders				
Chronic Pulmonary	.030	.065	.062	
Disease				
Diabetes	.046	.078	.079	
Diabetic Complications	.009	.029	.025	
Hypothyroidism	.015	.010	.011	
Renal Failure	.011	.078	.075	
Liver Disease	.006	.022	.022	
Metastatic Cancer	.018	.045	.047	
Tumor w/o Metastasis	.031	.071	.069	
Rheumatoid Arthritis	.011	.038	.040	
Obesity	.013	.010	.011	
Weight Loss	.003	.026	.030	
Electrolyte Disorders	.018	.070	.067	
Deficiency Anemias	.027	.042	.051*	
Depression/Drug Abuse	.013	.019	.024	
Market Characteristics:				
HMO Penetration (SD)	.204 (.142)	.213 (.144)	.215 (.140)	
Northeast	.124	.124	.129	
North Central	.301	.341	.339	
South	.451	.434	.422	
West	.124	.101	.110	
N	156 864	4 140	4 140	
- '	100,004	7,170	7,170	

#### Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for 2001-2002 Surgeries With and Without Patient Safety Events

Notes: PSI=Patient Safety Indicator. SD=standard deviation. The matched sample consists of all 4,140 PSI events in the raw sample, along with 4,140 non-PSI events matched to the PSI events by propensity score based on the covariates of this table in addition to 92 DRG classes.

\* Outcome rate under the PSI case is significantly different from the rate under the matched non-PSI cases at the 95% level.

a. All rates under PSI cases are significantly different from the rate under the raw sample non-PSI cases at the 95% level, except for obesity.

Variables	In-Hospital	Readmission	Outpatient Visit	
	Death	With PO Condition	With PO Condition	
Patient Safety Events:				
Technical Problems	0.913	0.893	1.065	
Infections	2.160***	1.877***	2.851***	
Pulmonary and Vascular	1.184	1.363***	2.090***	
Problems				
Acute Respiratory	3.744***	1.469***	1.858***	
Failure				
Metabolic Problems	2.137	1.702*	1.456	
Wound Problems	0.689	0.984	1.147	
Nursing-Sensitive	1.480	1.125	1.027	
Events				
Patient Characteristics:				
HMO Plan	0.926	0.960	0.833***	
Hourly Wage Worker	1.109	1.204***	0.960	
Female	0.769**	0.910	0.998	
Age 18 – 32				
Age 33 – 43	0.830	0.885	1.317***	
Age 44 – 52	1.604*	1.088	1.610***	
Age 53 – 59	2.176***	1.066	1.556***	
Age 60 – 64	2.472***	0.937	1.609***	
Emergency Admission	2.079***	1.279***	1.677***	
Organ Transplant	0.945	2.982***	1.424	
Year 2002	1.824***	1.105	0.868***	
Market Characteristics:				
HMO Penetration	0.394**	1.185	1.545**	
Northeast				
North Central	0.593**	1.143	0.923	
South	1.035	1.073	0.841*	
West	0.987	0.949	0.688***	
20 Chronic Conditions	Present	Present	Present	

Table 3: Estimated Odds Ratios for 90-Day Outcomes After Patient Safety Events

Notes: Based on logit regressions over the matched sample with N=8,280. Readmissions and outpatient care include only those visits with any of 44 post-operative (PO) conditions.

\*\*\* (\*\*) (\*) Significantly different from one at the 99% (95%) (90%) level. Standard errors are available from the authors.

# Table 4: Estimated Excess 90-Day Outcomes and Payments Due to Patient Safety Events

Patient Safety	Excess	Excess	Total Excess Payments		Excess Index	Excess	Excess	Excess
Event Class	In-Hospital	Readmission	and the Share of All		Hospitalization	Readmission	Outpatient	Drug
	Death Rate	Rate	Payments that are Excess		Payments	Payments	Payments	Payments
					[excess payment			
					to physician]			
Technical Problems	-0.2%	-1.0%	\$646	2.8% <sup>a</sup>	\$1,407	-\$616	-\$97	-\$48
	(0.8)	(1.0)	(1,285)					
					[\$238] <sup>b</sup>			
Infections	3.1%***	7.7%***	19,480***	42.0%	15,674	2,594	1,047	165
	(1.3)	(2.9)	(904)					
					[492]			
Pulmonary and Vascular	0.5%**	3.4%***	7,838***	24.8%	6,533	659	373	273
Problems	(0.2)	(1.4)	(500)					
					[-19]			
Acute Respiratory Failure	6.7%***	4.3%***	28,218***	52.0%	25,828	1,702	631	57
	(2.7)	(1.4)	(1,170)					
					[1,325]			
Metabolic Problems	3.0%*	6.3%*	11,797***	31.8%	11,536	288	-117	90
	(1.5)	(4.6)	(2,015)					
					[1,186]			
Wound Problems	-0.9%	-0.1%	1,426	5.8%	1,285	109	54	-22
	(2.2)	(1.9)	(1,908)					
					[2]			
Nursing-Sensitive Events	1.3%**	1.2%	12,196***	32.9%	11,657	484	40	15
-	(0.6)	(3.0)	(1,367)					
					[62]			

Notes: Bootstrapped standard errors for totals on the left are in parentheses. The mean in each column on the right is averaged over the subset of surgeries that had the given type of post-operative visit in that column.

a. The percentage of the PSI class's total payments that are excess payments due to patient safety.
b. The amount of the excess index hospitalization payment paid to the physician due to the PSI.
\*\*\* (\*\*) (\*) Significantly different from zero at the 99% (95%) (90%) level.